he expresses his faith in the sultan’s sense of justice and mentions that he would be satisfied with a post even in India. Ali, and Latifi, argue that the kaside beginning with the line Cem nüş‘eyle ey Cem bu Frenqiständır in Cem’s divan actually belongs to Sadi. However, it is highly probable that this kaside, which was written in the hasbihal (hāsh-‘i hāl, poetry talking about his life) form and which, according to the biographers, was read out in entertainment circles in Nice (in France), belongs to Cem Sultan and that the two couplets at the end of kaside written for Beyazid II were added later by Sadi.

Most of his poems were composed in the form of nazifes (nažīres, imitative poems) to Cem’s poems, and his own works were similarly imitated by important poets of the time such as Necati [Necâtt], Zati [Zâtt], Hayali [Hâyalî], Muhîbbî [Muḥîbbî], Meali [Me‘âlî], Mesîhi [Mesîhî], and Ishak [İshâk] Çelebî. Sadi’s poems, which highlight the prince’s sufferings and attempt to depict realistically his inner conflicts, regrets, and longing for his country and family, are outstanding examples in classical Ottoman poetry. Apart from his friendship with Cem Sultan, Sadi also became famous for his poetry devoted to wine and taverns.
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**Chapar b. Qaidu**

Chapar b. Qaidu (r. c. 702–10/1303–10) was the last ruler of the Mongol Ögödeid ulus (state, people), and great-grandson of Ögödei (r. 1229–41), son and successor of Chinggis Khân. Although Chapar was Qaidu’s firstborn son, he was not the one to whom his father intended to bequeath the throne. Unlike the case with the sovereign’s other sons, there is no record of Chapar’s whereabouts during his father’s lifetime. After Qaidu’s death, the Chaghatayid khân Du’a (Duwâ, r. 681–706/1282–1307), the deceased ruler’s right-hand man and far and away the most powerful figure in Central Asia at that time, orchestrated Chapar’s accession, probably with the objective of weakening the house of Ögödei. Despite some resistance, the Ögödeids ultimately accepted the succession, and Chapar was solemnly enthroned in Imil (modern-day South Kazakhstan) in late 702/spring 1303. Under Chapar, the Ögödeids maintained their own army (estimated at 400,000 riders by Het’um, the Armenian monk and historian, d. after 1307, also known as Hayton), diplomatic corps and administrators. The Ögödeid sedentary subjects were ruled from Kashgar by the sons of Mas‘ûd Beg, the experienced administrator of Mongol Central...
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Asia. Chapar treated his Muslim subjects favourably and apparently conducted diplomatic relations with Mamlūk Egypt.

Whereas Qaidu had been locked in combat with the Yuan for more than thirty years, soon after his coronation, Chapar joined Du’a in pursuing peace with the Ögödeids’ long-time rivals. In 704/1304, this policy resulted in a peace agreement between all the Mongol uluses. However, Chapar failed to reap any benefits from the peace and was even forced to cede some territory to the Chaghataiids, whose efforts to supplant the Ögödeids in Central Asia triggered hostilities in Transoxania, Talas, and, most importantly, along the Yuan frontier. By dint of the Yuan support, the Chaghataiids managed to defeat the Ögödeid forces, many of whom deserted to the neighbouring Mongol states. In desperation, Chapar surrendered to Du’a towards mid-706/late-1306. Du’a granted the defeated khan an appanage and salary, but continued to sow dissension amongst the Ögödeids’ ranks, and might have even deposed Chapar. It was only Du’a’s passing, in 707/1307, that temporarily prevented the complete dismantling of the Ögödeid ulus. Soon after, the untimely death of Du’a’s successor, Konjeck (Könchek, r. ca.707–8/1307–8), and the ensuing succession struggle prompted Chapar to make an attempt to regain Ögödeid independence. In 709/1309, he launched an attack against Kebek, the Chaghatayid prince (and future khān), who had just dethroned Naliqo’a, Konjek’s heir (r. 708–9/1308–9). However, Chapar’s alliance faltered on the battlefield, and he was thus compelled to submit to the Yuan the following year. Chapar’s arrival at the Yuan court was solemnly celebrated in Dadu (Beijing), for Qaidu had refused to make that journey from as far back as 1264. Chapar received the frozen revenues of Qaidu’s appanages and in 1315 was anointed Prince of Running (in Henan, northern China). Chapar’s surrender to the Yuan marked the end of the independent Ögödeid ulus, as its territories were permanently divided between the Chaghataiids and the Yuan. Though on occasion Ögödeid princes would attempt to take back these realms, their efforts were in vain.
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Charity, modern period

Charity (or philanthropy) has always been an important aspect of Islam, dating back to the principles of *zakāh* (mandatory alms) and *ṣadaqā* (optional charitable giving) set out in the Qur’ān and of *waqf* (pious endowment) traced back to the life of the prophet Muḥammad. Many Muslims discharge their *zakāh* obligations by giving privately to individuals whom they know, but Islamic charity took on new institutional forms throughout the twentieth century, culminating in the growth, since the 1970s, of “Islamic NGOs” (non-governmental organisations), whose expansion was curbed, in many regions, by geopolitical tensions and the menace of violent extremism.

1. Early to mid-twentieth century

After the decision in 1877 by the International Committee of the Red Cross to allow the Ottoman Empire to use the crescent instead of the cross as an emblem, many Muslim-majority countries established Red Crescent national societies, which, as part of the International Red Cross and Red Crescent Movement, are officially non-confessional but have often taken on an Islamic colouring. The oldest major Islamic charity is the Makassed Philanthropic Islamic Society (Jam’iyyat al-Maqṣād al-Khayriyya al-Islāmiyya), founded in Beirut in 1878, which grew to play an important role in social affairs, health, and education. Two Islamic associations in Muslim-majority countries were founded in 1912: in Indonesia, the reformist Muhammadiyah, which grew to have a huge presence in the country with a network of educational, health, and welfare activities; and in Egypt, the conservative Jam’iyya Shar’iyya, which also developed into a major provider of medical and social services with extensive popular support. But, while the former has been the subject of sustained research, including a centenary conference in Malang in 2012 devoted to its history,